

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI BENCH

NO.MAT/MUM/JUD/ 424 /2016
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal
Pay & Accounts Barrack Nos.3 & 4,
Free Press Journal Marg,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021.

Date : - 3 FEB 2016

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 06 OF 2016.
(Sub-Repatriation & Relieving Order)**

1. Shri Vitthal K. Pawar,
R/o. Mulund Mangal Murti C.H.S., MHADA Colony, Mulund (E),
Mumbai-81.

....**APPLICANT/S.**

VERSUS

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 The Special Inspector General Of
Police, Anti Terrorist Squad (ATS),
Having Office at Nagpada,
Mumbai-8. | 2 The Additional Director General of
Police, Anti Terrorist Squad, M.S.,
Mumbai, Having Office at Nagpada,
Mumbai-8. |
| 3 The Commissioner of Police,
Mumbai, Having Office at Mumbai
Police Commissionarate L.T. Marg,
Opp. Crawford Market, Fort,
Mumbai-01. | 4 The State of Maharashtra, Through
Principal Secretary, Home Dept.,
Having Office at Mantralaya,
Mumbai-32. |

...**RESPONDENT/S**

Copy to : The C.P.O. M.A.T., Mumbai.

*The applicant/s above named has filed an application as per copy already served on you, praying for reliefs as mentioned therein. The Tribunal on the 2nd day of **February, 2016** has made the following order:-*

APPEARANCE : Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for the Applicant.
Shri K.B. Bhise, P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : **HON'BLE JUSTICE SHRI A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.**

DATE : **02.02.2016.**

ORDER : Order Copy Enclosed / Order Copy Over Leaf.

Amal
3/2/2016
**Research Officer,
Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal,
Mumbai.**

(8)

SP. MAT. P. 2 E.

**TRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

of 20

of 20

CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Page
No.

Tribunal's orders

Date : 02.02. 2016.

O.A.No.06 of 2016

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. It is seen that though applicant has secured interim order, he has failed to serve the respondents by taking Hamdast, Applicant has failed to serve notice to the respondents.
3. The applicant has taken for granted that the parties can misbehave, and take the system for a ride.
4. Serious view as regards negligence on the part of applicant has to be taken for chastising the applicant.
5. Therefore, this Original Application deserves to be dismissed for this misbehave and default, and is accordingly dismissed for default.
6. Interim order stands vacated.
7. This dismissal will not preclude the applicant from filing fresh application for same relief.
8. Hamdast granted to learned P.O.


(A.H. Joshi),
Chairman

vso

EX-101

TRUE COPY


3/2/2016

Asst. Registrar / Research Officers